## Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

## Ethan Y. Jaffe

In this note we give a proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra, using only the inverse function theorem and basic point-set topology. The proof is inspired by a proof of Milnor ([1]), which passes from  $\mathbf{C}$  to the sphere  $S^2$ , but proceeds somewhat differently (in particular does not use regular values, or  $S^2$ ).

**Theorem 1.** Let p(z) be any non-constant polynomial of one complex variable with complex coefficients. Then p(z) has a complex root.

*Proof.* Let us identify  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with  $\mathbf{C}$  via  $x+iy \mapsto z$ , and identify p(z) as a smooth map  $\mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}^2$ via  $p(x+iy) = \operatorname{Re}(p(x+iy)) + i \operatorname{Im}(p(x+iy))$ . We will need to relate the differential dpto the classical (formal) derivative p', computed using the power rule.<sup>1</sup> To do this, we also identify  $\mathbf{C}$  with a subset of  $M_2(\mathbf{R})$ , the space of  $2 \times 2$  real matrices, via the map

$$\Phi(x+iy) = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that  $\Phi$  is indeed a ring isomorphism onto its image. We have the following easy lemma:

**Lemma 2.** Considered as a map  $\mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}^2$ ,  $dp_z = \Phi(p'(z))$ , where p'(z) is the (formal) derivative of p.

From the lemma, we deduce immediately that p has finitely many critical points. Indeed,  $dp_z$  is singular if and only if  $\Phi(p'(z))$  is not invertible, which happens if and only if p'(z) = 0, since  $\Phi$  is a ring isomorphism onto its image. Since p' is a nonzero polynomial over a field, it only has finitely many zeroes

To show that p has a root, we prove the stronger claim that p is surjective. To do so, we need:

## **Lemma 3.** The image $p(\mathbf{C})$ is closed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>By formal, we mean for instance that the derivative of  $az^k$  is  $akz^{k-1}$ , extended by sum rule to all polynomials. We say "formal" since we do not wish to use the derivative of a function of one complex variable. Of course these coincide, but the formal algebraic definition suffices.

*Proof.* Suppose  $x_n \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $p(x_n) \to y \in \mathbf{C}$ . We need to show that y = p(x) for some x. If the  $x_n$  were uniformly bounded, then we may pass to a convergent subsequence  $x_{n_k} \to x$ , and then  $p(x_{n_k}) \to p(x)$  since p is continuous, and  $p(x_{n_k}) \to y$  by assumption. Thus y = p(x).

So suppose the sequence  $x_n$  were not uniformly bounded. Then we may extract a subsequence  $x_{n_k}$  with  $|x_{n_k}| \to \infty$ . Write

$$p(z) = a_N z^N + a_{N-1} z^{N-1} + \dots + a_0,$$

with  $a_N \neq 0$ . Then

$$|p(z)| \ge |a_N| |z|^N \left( 1 - \frac{|a_{N-1}|}{|a_N|} |z|^{-1} - \dots - \frac{|a_0|}{|a_N|} |z|^{-k} \right) =: |a_N| |z|^N (1 - E(z)).$$

If k is large enough, then  $|E(x_{n_k})| \leq 1/2$ , and so  $|p(x_{n_k})| \geq \frac{1}{2}|a_N||x_{n_k}|^N \to \infty$ , a contradiction. Thus the sequence  $x_n$  is uniformly bounded.

Remark 4. This lemma and the proof is a restatement of the following fact: the map  $p : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C} \subseteq S^2$  (with  $S^2$  identified as the Riemann sphere, i.e. the one point compactification of  $S^2$ ), is a proper, and thus p extends to a map  $S^2 \to S^2$  mapping  $\infty$  to  $\infty$  and  $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$ . Thus  $p(S^2)$  is compact, and hence closed in  $S^2$ . Thus

$$p(\mathbf{C}) = p(S^2 \setminus \{\infty\}) = p(S^2) \setminus \{\infty\} = p(S^2) \cap \mathbf{C}$$

is the intersection of two closed sets, and hence is closed.

Denote  $A = p(\mathbf{C})$ . We have shown already that A is closed. Let  $B = \mathbf{C} \setminus p(\mathbf{C})$ . Then B is open. Let

 $G = \{ y \in p(\mathbf{C}) = A \colon dp_x \text{ is singular whenever } y = p(x) \}.$ 

Since p has finitely many singular points, G is finite. We now use the inverse function theorem to show that  $A \setminus G$  is open. Indeed, if  $y \in C$ , then y = p(x) for some x where  $dp_x$ is invertible. Thus, by the inverse function theorem, there exist neighbourhoods  $U, V \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ , with  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$  such that  $p: U \to V$  is a homeomorphism. Shrinking U, we may assume that dp is non-singular over U. In particular  $V = p(U) \subseteq C$  and thus C contains a neighbourhood of y.

Since  $C = A \cup B = (A \setminus G) \cup G \cup B$  is the union of three disjoint sets,  $C \setminus G = (A \setminus G) \cup B$  is the union of tow disjoint open sets. Since G is finite,  $C \setminus G$  is connected, and so this is possible only if  $A \setminus G$  or B is empty. As A is infinite but G is finite,  $A \setminus G$  is not empty, and thus  $B = \mathbf{C} \setminus p(\mathbf{C})$  is empty. Hence p is surjective.

## References

[1] John W Milnor, Topology from the Differentiable Viewpoint. University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville